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1. INTRODUCTION
Cogeneration, also known as Combined Heat and Power (CHP), is the on-site production of multiple types of energy – usually electricity, heat, and/or cooling – from a single source of fuel. Cogeneration often replaces the traditional methods of acquiring energy, such as purchasing electricity from the power grid and separately burning natural gas or oil in a furnace to produce heat or steam. While the traditional method of purchasing electric energy from a utility is convenient, it is very inefficient and wastes almost 75% of the energy in the original fuel due to production and transportation losses (see Figure 1).

On-site cogeneration systems convert 70-90% of the energy of the fuel that is burned into useful electricity or heat. Depending on the application, the integration of power and heating/cooling productions into one on-site cogeneration system can often produce savings of up to 35% of total energy expenditures. If your facility is a big energy user, those kinds of savings can pay for installing a cogeneration system in as little as 2-3 years for some applications.

![Figure 1](http://example.com/figure1.png)

**Figure 1** – Today’s “grid” system of central power plants and transmission lines waste much of the energy in the original fuel.
2. A TECHNOLOGY FOR TODAY

The principles of cogeneration have long been known and used in a wide variety of applications – from Thomas Edison’s first electric generating plant in 1882 to modern chemical processing facilities, to municipal utilities supplying power and district heating. In the past, economies of scale historically have favored large, complex projects or special situations.

Today, however, advances in lean-burn gas reciprocating engine technology, heat exchangers and digital system controls make cogeneration both practical and economical for applications as small as 300kW. This is causing many more types of facilities, large and small, to take a fresh look at cogeneration as a way to improve energy efficiency, cut greenhouse gas emissions and reduce costs.

A cogeneration system normally consists of a prime mover turning an alternator to produce electricity and a waste heat recovery system to capture heat from the exhaust and engine-cooling water jacket. The prime mover can be a lean-burn natural gas reciprocating engine, diesel reciprocating engine, gas turbine, microturbine or fuel cell. While the ratio of heat to electricity production differs between reciprocating engine systems and gas turbine systems, as much as 90% of the energy in the original fuel is put to productive use in a cogeneration system.

As of 2016, only 8% of the electricity used in the United States is produced by cogeneration systems, but the Department of Energy (DOE) has established a goal of having cogeneration comprise 20% of generation capacity by 2030. Some countries, such as Finland, Denmark and the Netherlands, generate over 30% of their electrical demand from cogeneration installations, as of 2016.

![Figure 2](image-url) – CHP plants operate at twice the average efficiency of the U.S. power system.
3. COGENERATION SYSTEM PRIME MOVER OPTIONS

The heart of a cogeneration system is the prime mover, and each technology option — reciprocating natural gas engine, gas turbine or fuel cell — has characteristics that may make one or another better suited to each application. In general, systems based on reciprocating engines offer the greatest electrical output per thermal input energy from the fuel and the highest overall efficiency.

Reciprocating engine systems represent the largest share, by far, of all installed cogeneration systems. Both the reliability and availability of most systems are in the range of 90% to 95%.

THE FOLLOWING ARE SOME CHARACTERISTICS OF TYPICAL COGENERATION SYSTEMS:

A. Lean-Burn Gas Engine Generator Cogeneration Systems
Recent advances in natural gas engine combustion technology have created a reciprocating engine generator system with excellent performance and very low emissions. Lean-burn engine generators from Cummins Power Generation feature emissions down to 0.5 grams of NOx per brake horsepower-hour without the need for exhaust aftertreatment. These generators are suitable for continuous operation in most geographic areas of the world.

With exhaust aftertreatment, these systems are suitable for even the most environmentally stringent areas, such as California’s southern coast in the U.S. These systems also feature fast availability and installed costs that are about one-half that of cogeneration systems based on gas turbines. Practical systems range in size from 300kW to 10MW or more electrical output, and 1.5 MBtu to 45.2 MBtu thermal output.

B. Gas Turbine Generator Cogeneration Systems
Systems based on microturbines or large gas turbines have the advantage of greater thermal output per thermal input of fuel. Although costing considerably more per kW of capacity and offer somewhat lower overall efficiency than reciprocating engine-based cogeneration systems, turbine-based systems have slightly higher availability and lower maintenance requirements. Gas turbines have been favored for very large cogeneration systems where high-quality heat or high-pressure steam is a required output for industrial processing. The sizes of gas turbine systems ranges from 30kW to hundreds of megawatts (MW). Emissions are similar to that of a lean-burn gas engine generator cogeneration system.

C. Fuel Cell Cogeneration Systems
Fuel cells convert a fuel (usually natural gas) directly into electricity and heat without going through a typical combustion process. The main byproduct is water. While fuel cells are very clean and reliable, they are the most expensive to purchase of all available cogeneration technologies. Most installations to date have been demonstration projects.
4. IS YOUR FACILITY A CANDIDATE FOR COGENERATION?

The first step in deciding whether a cogeneration system is right for your facility is to perform a quick analysis of the facility’s energy use. This analysis can be reduced to a few simple questions. If you answer “yes” to all the questions, then the facility may be a good candidate for a more comprehensive analysis.

A. Have all reasonable steps to reduce both electric and heat energy consumption at your facility been taken?
If you can make improvements in the way you use energy in your facility, these changes will translate into lower operating costs and perhaps reduce the size of the cogeneration system needed, as well as your investment.

B. Are the operating needs 24/7?
The most cost-effective cogeneration systems operate at full output 24/7. To make sure your cogeneration system is running at full capacity most of the time, only plan on generating a portion of your total electric and thermal needs — about 50% to 80%. You'll still need a utility connection to supply the remainder of your load and an on-site boiler to handle peaks in your thermal demand as well as continue operations during scheduled maintenance and service events.

C. Is the thermal load at the facility consistent?
The thermal load of the facility may take the form of hot water, an absorption chiller load, low-pressure steam — or a combination of all three. Excess electrical power is a salable commodity that can sometimes be fed back into the grid for additional savings if allowed by the utility. Heat production is necessarily restricted to on-site or district heating use. Excess heat is usually released as waste heat, lowering overall efficiency.

D. Is the duration of simultaneous need for heating/cooling and electric power greater than 4,000 hours per year?
While some applications are feasible when simultaneous electric and thermal demand is around 2,000 hours per year, economics favor systems that operate at least half the year. Thermal processing loads at industrial facilities tend to be rather constant, whereas space-heating or space-cooling loads are typically seasonal. Facilities with substantial space-heating needs in the winter and space-cooling needs in the summer are generally good candidates for cogeneration systems.

E. Are local electric rates high in relation to the local cost and availability of natural gas?
Known as the “spark spread,” the greater the difference between the price of electricity and the price of natural gas (on an equivalent Btu basis), the greater the likelihood that a cogeneration system will provide substantial savings.

F. Is the physical site suitable for the installation of a cogeneration system?
Enough space to house the generators, heat-exchangers, switchgear and control systems is necessary for a successful installation. Small systems can be located outdoors in specially packaged enclosures; however, larger systems may need their own room or a freestanding building. There also needs to be a supply of natural gas to the facility. Environmental factors should also be considered, such as state, local air-quality standards and noise ordinances.
G. Is reliability of electric service a major economic concern?
For many commercial and industrial facilities, a power outage can be very costly due to lost productivity or revenue. In many areas, utilities are incapable of delivering the kind of reliability that is necessary. In contrast, on-site cogeneration systems — when designed with enough redundancy, standby generators, and uninterruptible power supply (UPS) systems — offer significantly better reliability than some local utilities. On-site power systems are less vulnerable to storm damage and transformer or transmission line failures, and, with proper maintenance, will offer reliable operation for decades.

5. ANALYZING COSTS AND PAYBACK

If the answers to many of the above questions are a “yes,” then the facility is a likely candidate for a cogeneration system. The next step in determining the viability of a cogeneration system for the facility is to do a simple cost analysis and calculate the number of years it will take for such a system to pay for itself.

A cost analysis is best done with the help of a representative from a system manufacturer such as Cummins Power Generation or a consulting engineer familiar with cogeneration systems. However, the factors that go into the calculation are: 1) electricity costs per kWh; 2) electricity demand charges; 3) cost of natural gas; 4) number of anticipated hours of operation per year; 5) utilization of recovered heat; and 6) installed cost of the cogeneration system. This information is used to estimate the annual savings and payback for the installation.

For a sample payback analysis, see the next page.
6. SAMPLE PAYBACK ANALYSIS

A recent economic analysis for a university in Scotland illustrates the energy cost savings that can be realized with a cogeneration system. The university chose a cogeneration solution. Cummins provided a 995kWe QSK60G high-efficiency natural gas generator set including additional ancillary items, acoustic enclosure, installation and commissioning.

A. Cost Calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy Costs</th>
<th>GBP</th>
<th>USD (Assuming 1.28 USD = 1 GBP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electricity</td>
<td>8 p/kwhr</td>
<td>$0.105/kwhr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>2.3 p/kwhr</td>
<td>$0.023/kwhr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cogeneration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance Charge</td>
<td>£ 10.90 p/kwhr</td>
<td>$13.95/kwhr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Infrastructure Cost</td>
<td>£100,000.00</td>
<td>$128,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cogeneration CAPEX</td>
<td>£ 915,000.00</td>
<td>$787,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Project Cost</td>
<td>£ 715,000.00</td>
<td>$915,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cogeneration Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Cost</td>
<td>£ 452,272.00</td>
<td>$578,908.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance Cost</td>
<td>£ 87,200.00</td>
<td>$111,616.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cogeneration</td>
<td>£ 539,472.00</td>
<td>$690,524.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Savings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity</td>
<td>£ 836,800.00</td>
<td>$815,104.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat</td>
<td>£ 222,029.00</td>
<td>$294,852.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Energy Savings</td>
<td>£ 858,829.00</td>
<td>$1,099,956.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Benefit to Client</td>
<td>£ 316,053.00</td>
<td>$400,411.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payback Period</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.2 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Carbon Dioxide Savings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>CO2 Savings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cogeneration displaced electricity</td>
<td>4391 tonnes CO2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cogeneration delivered heat</td>
<td>1587 tonnes CO2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less fuel CO2 produced</td>
<td>3829 tonnes CO2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net carbon dioxide saving</td>
<td>2349 tonnes CO2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As it can be seen from the figures, an on-site generator that produces both electricity and thermal energy can cut total energy expenditures and carbon dioxide emissions by a significant amount. In this example, the cogeneration system will pay for itself in a bit more than two years.

B. Environmental Savings

The cogeneration installation has helped the university meet its objectives of reducing carbon emissions in line with the university’s carbon management plan, as well as providing a flexible and sustainable future energy supply for its growing campus.
7. THE ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

Cogeneration is a technology that offers a win-win for businesses and the environment. Greater use of natural gas-based cogeneration systems would have the effect of displacing electricity produced by a nation’s power grid. As much of global utility power is produced by older coal-fired power plants, a reduction in electric demand from these sources would reduce carbon dioxide, nitrogen oxides, sulfur dioxide, particulate and other noxious emissions.

In terms of emissions alone, burning natural gas in an on-site reciprocating engine generator produces less than half of the CO₂ produced by an equivalent amount of coal burned in a central power plant. In this way, cogeneration is a technology that reduces pollution overall and helps in the fight against global warming. In addition, since CO₂ production is directly related to the amount of fuel burned, cogeneration’s significantly greater fuel efficiency reduces CO₂ emissions overall, while lowering costs and conserving natural resources. Cogeneration systems can also make users eligible for carbon credits for their CO₂ reduction.

Green building rating systems have been developed in many countries around the world to provide standards for environmentally sustainable construction. In addition to addressing water usage, indoor environmental quality and innovative building design, these standards typically address both energy usage and the atmosphere. Such a standard may include a requirement for reducing a facility’s “carbon footprint,” primarily emissions of CO₂. By displacing the energy that would normally be produced by central power plants that burn fossil fuels, cogeneration systems significantly reduce the amount of carbon and other pollutants that are released into the atmosphere. For example, the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) standards developed by the U.S. Green Building Council include an LEED-NC (New Construction) certification with a requirement for two energy optimization credits; facilities can earn one of these credits by installing a cogeneration system.

To help facility managers calculate the amount of reduction in greenhouse gases and fuel that can be achieved with a cogeneration system, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has created an online tool. This interactive tool can help facility managers or consulting engineers evaluate the environmental and energy-saving benefits of cogeneration. This calculator can be found at https://www.epa.gov/chp/chp-energy-and-emissions-savings-calculator.
8. APPLICATIONS THAT ARE GOOD CANDIDATES FOR COGENERATION

Advancing technology has made cogeneration systems suitable for a much wider range of applications than in the past, although the simultaneous need for electric power and heat or cooling is common to all cogeneration applications. Facility types that are good candidates for cogeneration today include:

- Hospitals
- Greenhouses
- Hotels
- Industrial/Chemical Plants
- Manufacturing
- Commercial Facilities
- Government Facilities
- Colleges and Universities
- Food Processing Plants
- Health Clubs
- Swimming Pools
- Nursing Homes

9. CONCLUSION

Cogeneration systems that produce both electricity and heat and/or cooling from the same fuel can offer energy savings of up to 35% for a wide range of facilities, while at the same time contribute to building sustainability and protecting the environment. The potential for cost savings in energy expenditures is usually the motivating reason to consider cogeneration, but building sustainability and regional certifications are becoming reasons on their own to investigate the potential benefits of cogeneration for your facility.

For more information on cogeneration, contact your consulting engineer firm or power system manufacturer, or visit cummins.com.

INFORMATION RESOURCES

For additional environmental and cogeneration information, visit the websites listed here:

- Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI), www.epri.com
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